

**PROCEEDING OF THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,  
RANGA REDDY DISTRICT  
PRESENT : DR. S. HARISH, I.A.S.,**

Prog. No. D1/935/2022

Dated: 03-07-2023

Sub:- Lands – Ranga Reddy District – Gandipet Mandal –  
Vattinagulapally Village – Sy.Nos. 111, 146, 152,153,154,  
155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 161, 162, 190 – Hon'ble High Court  
order in W.P. No. 41378 of 2022, dated. 14-11-2022 – filed  
by Y. Jaihind Reddy S/o. Late Raji Reddy – Orders Issued.

Ref:- 1.Hon'ble High Court orders in WP No. 41378 of 2022,  
Dt. 14-11-2022.  
2.This office Notice even No., dated. 07-01-2023.  
3.This office letter even No., dated. 31-01-2023.  
4.Tahsildar, Gandipet Mandal, Lr.No. B/1100/2017,  
dated. 24-05-2023.

@@@

**ORDER:**

In the reference 1<sup>st</sup> cited, the Hon'ble High Court for the State of  
Telangana has passed order in WP No. 41378 of 2022, dated. 14-11-2022 filed  
by Y. Jaihind Reddy S/o. late. Raji Reddy as follows:

*.... In view of the aforesaid discussion, without going in to merits and  
demerits of the case this writ petition is allowed and the impugned rejection  
order dated 31-10-2022 is set aside and matter is remanded back to the 2<sup>nd</sup>  
respondent with a direction to reconsider the aforesaid online application dated  
21-10-2022 afresh and pass reasoned order by putting the petitioner and  
dependents in OS No. 54 of 2021 and Sri Siddoji Khandalkar who filed I.A. No.  
640 of 2022 and all the effected parties on notice and affording an opportunity of  
hearing. If the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent not inclined to accept the request made by the  
petitioner, he shall assign specific reasons and pass a reasoned order,  
communicate the copy of said order to the petitioner. He shall complete said  
exercise within (8) weeks from date of receipt of a copy of this order. Till then 2<sup>nd</sup>  
respondent keep the aforesaid subject property in the prohibited list. There shall  
be no order as to costs."*

2. In compliance with the orders of the Hon'ble High Court a notice was  
issued in the reference 2<sup>nd</sup> cited to all the interested parties calling for claims  
and objections in the matter, while fixing the date of hearing on 07-01-2023.  
The matter was subsequently called and finally on 24-01-2023.

3. Heard the matter and verified the contents of the petitioner and  
objections of the respondents. Based on the material available on record this  
court made the following:

4. On verification of the material papers the main contentions of Sri. Y. Jaihind Reddy S/o. late Raji Reddy are as follows :

4.1 It is stated by Sri. Y. Jaihind Reddy that, he is the owner and possessor of the agriculture lands in Sy.Nos.111 ( Extent Ac. 7-32 gts., ) 2) Sy.No.146, (Extent Ac. 6.-04 gts.,) 3) Sy.No.152,(Extent Ac. 6.39 gts.,) 4) Sy.No.153 (Extent Ac. 12.17 gts.,) 5) Sy.No.154 (Extent Ac. 13.00 gts.,) 6 ) Sy.No.155 (Extent Ac. 14.14 gts.,) 7) Sy.No.156 (Extent Ac. 14.14 gts.,) 8) Sy.No.157 (extent Ac. 4.14 gts.,) 9) Sy.No.158 (Extent Ac. 18-08 gts.,) 10) Sy.No.159 (Extent Ac. 4.38 gts.,) 11) Sy.No.161 (Extent Ac. 15.12 gts.,) 12) Sy.No.162 (extent Ac. 15.13 gts., 13) Sy.No.190 (Extent Ac. 12.31 gts., ) total admeasuring Ac.146-05 gs., situated at Vattinagulapalle village, Gandipet Mandal, Rangareddy District.

4.2 It is further stated that, he acquired these lands under an agreement of sale with possession dated 11-03-2013 from the original owners i.e.1) Moddu Srisailam S/o. Ramulu 2) Moddu Viswanadham S/o. Balaiah 3) Golia Baldev Singh S/o. late Laxman Singh 4) Golia Gabbar Singh S/o. Bharath singh 5) Golia Ravichand Singh S/o. late. Laxman Singh 6) Moddu Venkatesam S/o. Sri Shankaraiah 7) Mahadev Singh S/o. late. Nimbaji 8) Smt. Nirmala Bai W/o. late. Tukaram 9) Kapil Kahndarkar S/o. late. Mahadev 10) Ch. Anantha S/. Sri. Narayana Reddy.

4.3 It is stated that, the owners of the above lands failed to perform their part of contract, he filed a suit for specific performance and consequential relief of perpetual injunction against the owners in O.S. No. 54/2021, on the file of the court of II Additional District Judge, Rangareddy District. That the Hon'ble Court decreed the suit by judgement and decree dated.14-09-2021 directing the Vendors/Owners to execute a Registered Sale Deed in his favour within (3) months from the date of Judgement. It is further stated that, the court also ordered that, if the vendors/owners fail to execute the Registered Sale Deed, he is at liberty to get the Registration done under due process of Law and the Hon'ble High Court also granted Perpetual Injunction against the vendors/owners. That since the vendors/owners failed to execute the Registered Sale Deed in favour of petitioner Y. Jaihind Reddy within the prescribed time of (3) months from the date of the Judgement and decree, he filed an Execution Petition before the II Addl. District and Sessions Judge, Rangareddy District in E.P. No. 323 of 2022 in O.S. No. 54 of 2021 and the same is pending.

4.4 It is further stated that, during the pendency of the EP No. 323 of 2022 and basing on the Judgement and Decree passed in O.S. No. 54 of 2021, dated.

14-09-2021 he filed application in Dharani Online Portal in "Court Case Intimation" option to block/prohibit further successions, partitions and sale transactions in respect of the land detailed above vide application No. CI2200007193 and (183) applications. That a representation was also given to the District Collector, Rangareddy District on 12-04-2022 to block/prohibit further succession, partitions and sale transactions of the subject lands.

4.5 It is stated that, the District Collector, Rangareddy District has approved the request made online/representation and blocked the subject lands from transactions. That without giving any notice to the petitioner (Y. Jaihind Reddy) the subject lands were removed from block/prohibition list and several transactions were allowed and the owners/vendors have executed several documents in the subject lands.

4.6 That on 20-10-2022 he has again applied in "Court Cases Intimation" option, to block/prohibit the subject mentioned lands. Further submitted a representation on 21-10-2022 to consider the applications made on 20-10-2022 in online. But without considering his application, the District Collector, Rangareddy District has rejected his application on 31-10-2022 without giving any valid reasons.

4.7 Aggrieved by the above rejection, the petitioner Sri. Y. Jaihind Reddy has filed Writ Petition No. 41378 of 2022 before the Hon'ble High Court for the State of Telangana on 10-11-2022. The Hon'ble High Court on 14-11-2022 has set aside the rejection order of the District Collector, Rangareddy District dated. 31-10-2022 and directed the District Collector, Rangareddy District to reconsider the online application made on 21-10-2022 and to pass a reasoned order by giving notices to the effected parties. It was further ordered to complete the exercise within a period of (8) weeks and till then to keep the subject lands in the prohibited list.

4.8 The petitioner has filed another representation on 07-12-2022 and 04-01-2023 and stated that, several transactions were allowed in the subject lands and after the court orders only an extent of Ac. 27-15 gts., in the subject Sy.Nos. were kept in block/prohibition leaving a balance of Ac. 119-00 gts., which is not in block. Further stated that, an extent of Ac. 4.00 gts., in certain other Sy.Nos. were allowed transactions.

5. Sri. Siddoji Khandarkar S/o. late. K. Brahmaji has filed objection petition in the matter. The main contentions of the objection petitioner are :

5.1 It is stated that, Y. Jaihind Reddy filed a suit O.S. No. 54/2021 against one Moddu Srisailam and others seeking specific performance of Agreement of Sale dated.11-03-2013 and same was decreed on 14-09-2021. There after he has filed E.P. No. 323 of 2021 on the file of Hon'ble II Addl. District Judge, Ranga Reddy District. Further Y. Jaihind Reddy filed online petition before the District Collector on 12-04-2022 to keep the subject matter of lands in the prohibitory list and on that application District Collector, Ranga Reddy District has kept the lands in the prohibitory list.

5.2 It is stated that, Moddu Srisailam and (9) others who are the defendants in O.S. No. 54/2021 are not concerned with the suit land Ac. 146.05 gts., in O.S. No. 54/2021. That aggrieved by the judgement and decree in O.S. No. 54/2021, dated. 14-09-2021 he filed an application Under Order 23 Rule 3A R/w. section 151 of CPC to recall/ set aside the judgement and decree dated. 14-09-2021 and he also filed I.A. No. 640 of 2022 in O.S. NO. 54/2021 to stay the judgement and decree. The Hon'ble II Addl. District Judge, RR district was pleased to pass order dated. 06-07-2022 granting interim stay of execution of judgement and decree dated. 14-09-2021 passed in O.S. No. 54/2021 until further orders. That in view of the above interim stay orders, the authorities of the District Collector, Ranga Reddy District have deleted the said lands from the prohibitory list.

5.3 It is further stated that, there is no sale deed/s in favour of Sri. Y. Jaihind Reddy in respect of land admeasuring Ac. 146-05 gts., situated at Vattinagulapalli village. No ownership or title vest with Sri. Y. Jaihind Reddy under mere judgement and decree passed in O.S. No. 54/2021, dated. 14-09-2021. The said judgement and decree in O.S. No. 54/2021 is also stayed by the Hon'ble II Addl. Judge, Rangareddy District in I.A. No. 640 of 2022.

5.4 Therefore the objection petitioner has stated that, the application filed by Y. Jaihind Reddy dated. 21-10-2022 to keep the land admeasuring Ac. 146-05-gts., situated at Vattinagulapalli village in the prohibitory list is liable to be rejected.

6. On 9-05-2023 one Smt. Mala Bai Khandarkar W/o. late. Mahadev Kandarkar has submitted a representation while enclosing a copy of the orders of the Hon'ble High Court passed in AS No. 1 of 2023, dated. 18-04-2023 stating that, Y. Jaihind Reddy and others made application before the Revenue authorities to include the lands in Sy.Nos. 111, 146, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 161, 162 and 190 situated at Vattinagulapalle village, Gandipet Mandal, Rangareddy District in the Prohibitory list and not to allow any sale transactions in respect of said lands, on the basis of judgement and decree passed in O.S. No. 54 of 2021, dt. 14-09-2021. On the basis of the said

judgement and decree the revenue authorities included the lands in the prohibitory list and not allowing the sale transactions in respect of said lands.

6.1 That aggrieved by the said judgement and decree she has preferred an appeal in A.S. No. 1 of 2023 before the Hon'ble High Court against Y. Jaihind Reddy and others and the Hon'ble High Court was pleased to grant stay of the judgement and decree passed in O.S. No. 54/2021, dated. 24-09-2021 vide orders in I.A. No. 3 of 2023 in AS No. 1/2023 dated. 18-04-2023. Therefore it is just and necessary to delete the above said lands from prohibitory list and allow the sale transactions by rejecting the application made by Sri. Y. Jaihind Reddy and others.

7. On 17-05-2023 one Sri. Subash Galande S/o. late. G. Limbaji has filed a representation alongwith copy of orders of the Hon'ble High Court passed in AS No. 5 of 2023, dated. 18-04-2023 stating that, Y. Jaihind Reddy and others made application before the Revenue authorities to include the lands in Sy.Nos. 111, 146, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 161, 162 and 190 situated at Vattinagulapalle village, Gandipet Mandal, Rangareddy District in the Prohibitory list and not to allow any sale transactions in respect of said lands, on the basis of judgement and decree passed in O.S. No. 54 of 2021, dt. 14-09-2021. On the basis of the said judgement and decree the revenue authorities included the lands in the prohibitory list and not allowing the sale transactions in respect of said lands.

7.1 That aggrieved by the said judgement and decree he has preferred an appeal in A.S. No. 5 of 2023 before the Hon'ble High Court against Y. Jaihind Reddy and others and the Hon'ble High Court was pleased to grant stay of the judgement and decree passed in O.S. No. 54/2021, dated. 24-09-2021 vide orders in I.A. No. 3 of 2023 in AS No. 5/2023 dated. 18-04-2023. Therefore it is just and necessary to delete the above said lands from prohibitory list and allow the sale transactions by rejecting the application made by Sri. Y. Jaihind Reddy and others.

8. This office vide reference 3<sup>rd</sup> cited has called for a detailed report in the matter from the Tahsildar, Gandipet Mandal. In turn the Tahsildar, Gandipet Mandal vide reference 4<sup>th</sup> cited has submitted report stating that, the subject lands in Sy.Nos. 111 ( Extent Ac. 7-32 gts., ) 2) Sy.No. 146, (Extent Ac. 6.-04 gts.,) 3) sy.No. 152,(Extent Ac. 6.39 gts.,) 4) Sy.No. 153 (Extent Ac. 12.17 gts.,) 5) Sy.No. 154 (Extent Ac. 13.00 gts.,) 6 ) Sy.No. 155 (Extent Ac. 14.14 gts.,) 7) Sy.No. 156 (Extent Ac. 14.14 gts.,) 8) Sy.No. 157 (extent Ac. 4.14 gts.,) 9) Sy.No. 158 (Extent Ac. 18-08 gts.,) 10) Sy.No. 159 (Extent Ac. 4.38 gts.,) 11) Sy.No. 161 (Extent Ac. 15.12 gts.,) 12) Sy.No. 162 (extent Ac. 15.13 gts., 13) Sy.No. 190 (Extent Ac. 12.31 gts., ) total admeasuring Ac. 146-05 gs., are part of large dispute involving an extent of Ac. 460-06 gts., situated at

Vattinagulapalli Village, Gandipet Mandal, Rangareddy District. The brief facts of the case are reported as follows :

8.1 One Rajannagari Malla Reddy and (8) others were pattadars of large extent of Ac. 460-06 gts., land in Sy.No. 111, 134 to 139, 146/A/1, 148 to 158, 159-A, 161, 162, 165, 166, 171, 178-180, 181/A, 183, 189, 191 situated at Vattinagulapally village of Gandipet Mandal.

8.2 N. Ranga Reddy as GPA of the owners has made the lands in to 3328 plots (@ 500 Sq. Yards each) and sold to different individuals

8.3 The plot purchasers after purchase of the individual plots (2745 in number) from the year 1983 to 1986, have formed into an association by name M/s. Shankara Hills plot Purchasers Welfare Association. (Regd. No. 2210/1989)

8.4 The President of the Association has filed O.S. NO. 360 of 1996 against the pattadars and N. Ranga Reddy, GPA of pattadars for Perpetual Injunction restraining the defendants from alienating the suit property. The said O.S. No. 360 of 1996 was dismissed by the court of the Ist Addl. Sr. Civil Judge, RR district on 09-03-2005. The AS No. 369 of 2005 filed by the Association is pending before the Hon'ble High Court till today.

8.5 Sri. Karunakar Chowdhary and others filed petition before the Collector, Rangareddy District and same was forwarded to the DC & Tahsildar, Rajendranagar Mandal (D1/165/2000, dt. 10-01-2000). The DC & Tahsildar, Rajendranagar Mandal has filed a report in the matter (D/1153/2001, dt. 25-05-2001) of M/s. Shankara Hills Association lands for taking up Revision U/s. 9 of ROR Act. The case was taken up in file No. D5/3214/2001. The pattadars denied execution of GPA in favour of N. Ranga Reddy with No. 47/1983 and 53/1983 and requested for continuation of their PPBs. The Joint Collector, RR district vide No. D5/3214/2001, dt. 06-01-2004 ordered for deletion of names of pattadars from records and cancellation of PPBs.

8.6 The orders of Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District were challenged in W.P. No. 3123 of 2004. The Hon'ble Court in its orders dt. 24-08-2006 set aside the orders of the Joint Collector, RR district holding that, the pattadars denied execution of GPA and land is already observed by the Jt. Collector to be converted to non-agrl. Hence ROR Act does not apply. Parties have to approach civil court for appropriate relief.

8.7 On the representation filed by one Sri. G. Baldev Singh one of the pattadar the Jt. Collector, vide No. D/1960/2010, dt. 09-02-2011 issued

directions to restore original entries ie., names of the pattadars in revenue records.

8.8 O.S. No. 907 of 2006 was filed by Association for injunction against the pattadars before III Addl. Dist., Judge, RR district. The IA No. 948/2011 filed by pattadars to reject the plaint was dismissed by the court. The Hon'ble Court allowed the CRP No. 401 of 2012 on 22-03-2012 and remanded the matter to the lower court directing to consider the application afresh in accordance with law and pass speaking order. Accordingly the civil court passed orders in IA No. 948/2011 on 02-07-2012 and rejected the plaint under Order 7 Rule 11 of CPC holding the Association as non juristic person cannot sue and sued.

8.9 The appeal filed by Association in AS No. 338 of 2013 was allowed by the Hon'ble High Court on 05-08-2013, but directing lower court to return the plaint and require the plaintiffs to comply with the requirements, such as :

a) showing the names of the individual owners of the plaint together with the particulars of sale deeds through which they have purchased ;

b) the boundaries of the respective plots purchased by the individual plaintiffs ; and

c) the nature of possession enjoyed by them over the respective plots, and the nature of interference from the respondents (pattadars) ;

(The Court Commissioner in his report filed in IA No. 1201 of 2001 in O.S. No. 60 of 2001 before the Ist Addl. District Judge stated that, "*the suit schedule property was consisting of stones, boulders, bushes, hillocks and there are no independent identified boundaries of plots.*")

8.10 During the pendency of the OS No. 907 of 2006, one Sri. Baldev Singh and others some of the pattadars of the lands Rep. by their Spl. Power of Attorney Holder Sri. K. Shankar Goud filed O.S. No. 165 of 2011 before the XIV Addl. District and Sessions Judge, RR district seeking perpetual injunction against the Association. That the suit was disposed by the court on 16-06-2017, as per the compromise arrived by the parties wherein the parties admitted the possession of the association over the suit schedule property.

8.11 During the pendency of this lis several transactions both as agriculture and plot purchases have taken place and names of several purchasers as agricultural lands were implemented in the revenue records.

8.12 During the LRUP programme (purification of revenue records) the Tahsildar, Gandipet mandal vide No. B/1100/2017, dated. 15-12-2017 ordered for correction of entries in pahani of Vattinagulaplally village against

possession column of the suit lands to an extent of Ac. 460-06 gts., to recorded as "Shankara Hills".

8.13 Several recorded pattadars have filed I.As., in O.S. No. 165/2011 (Viz., IA No. 78/2018, 78/2018, 80/2018, 568/2017, 649/2017 652/2017 and I.A. No. 793/2017) pleading that, the individual owners have not filed any suit for declaration of title as per the orders of the Hon'ble High Court in WP No. 3123 of 2004, dated. 24-08-2006 and the execution of GPA in favour of N. Ranga Reddy was denied by the pattadars. That the land is covered by G.O.Ms. No. 111, wherein layouts are not permitted. That land being alienated and recorded in the names of several others as pattadars, who are not made party to the compromise is not valid. Further AS No. 1159/2017 is also filed aggrieved by the orders passed in O.S. NO. 165/2011 before the Hon'ble High Court.

8.14 Pattadars whose names were already implemented in the revenue records as pattadars and possessors over the suit lands Viz., M/s. Jaihind Green Fields who have purchased lands in Sy.Nos. 178, 179, 180, 183 and 191, extent Ac. 81-38 of Vattinagulapally village vide documents No. 7237 of 2002 and 7238 of 2002, dated. 17-03-1997 (released pending documents) filed W.P. No. 12501 of 2018. The Hon'ble High Court in its orders dated. 30-04-2018 holding that no notice was served on the petitioners though their names were reflected in the revenue records, has granted interim suspension of the orders of the Tahsildar, Gandipet dt. 15-12-2017. Several others have also filed Writ Petitions and obtained similar orders from the Hon'ble High Court.

8.15 In pursuance of the orders of the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No. 12501 of 2018, dated. 30-04-2018 the name of M/s. Jaihind Green Fields and others were restored in the records possession column (as their names already existed in pattadar column) by the Tahsildar, Gandipet Mandal in Memo. No. B/1979/20218, dated. 13-08-2018. The transactions were continued over these lands.

8.16 The Association has filed Receive and Transmit Petition No. 4 of 2020 before the Hon'ble High Court and in IA No. 1 of 2020, dated. 17-01-2020 the court has granted interim injunction in favour of the association. The said Receive and Transmit Petition, with interim orders was transferred to the Court of the VIII Addl. District Judge, RR district and numbered as O.S. No. 35 of 2020. The Court also by orders dated. 29-09-2020 granted interim injunction in I.A. No. 89/2020 till disposal of the suit in O.S. No. 35 of 2020.

8.17 M/s. Jaihind Green fields have filed CMA No. 424 of 2020 before the Hon'ble High Court and the Hon'ble Court in its orders in IA No. 1 of 2020 dt.

18-11-2020 suspended the orders of the Civil court passed in IA No. 89 of 2020 in OS No. 35 of 2020. At present the CMA is pending consideration before the Hon'ble High Court.

8.18 On field there are no traces of any layout and the land is in possession of different individual pattadars. The names of the original pattadars entered in the revenue records as pattadars and possessors in the year 1994 and pass books were issued to the original owners and subsequent to that, sale transactions are being done in these lands since long i.e., (28) years. No declaratory suits were filed by the plot owners as per directions of the Hon'ble High Court. The entries made in possession column of the suit lands in favour of Association were suspended in several writ petitions in favour of the pattadars. There are no any specific orders from Hon'ble Courts against the pattadars in alienating the lands.

8.19 These Sy. Nos. are not recorded in 22-A Prohibited list maintained by this office and No Government interest or Endowment / Wakf interest is involved.

8.20 As per IGRS website prohibited properties list, these Sy. Nos. alongwith several other lands with extent Ac. 300-00 gts., without mentioning specific extents covered in each sy.no. are recorded as Covered by Court stay with FORM NO. I.T.C.P. 16 dated: 19-03-2019 with reference Order of attachment of Immovable Property. Regarding the said communication i.e., I.T.C.P. 16, dated: 19-03-2019 from IT department to stop registrations of the lands of IT defaulter Sri. Kareem Nawaz Alladin.

8.21 On verification of the Rectification deed No. 109/IV/2018, Dated: 11-07-2018 furnished by the SRO, Gachibowli it is observed that, one Mrs. Anees Makandar wife of Mr. Noorullah Shah Makandar executed assignment deed in favour of Alladdin investments and properties rep by Mr. Karim Nawaz Alladdin, the extent of 9.2/104 share out of her undivided share in preliminary decree in O.S. No. 42/1962 covering old Sy. Nos. 95, 100, 111, 116, 120, 121, 123 to 125, 134 to 166, 176, 177, 181, 189, 192 to 221 and 224 corresponding to New Sy. Nos. 95, 100, 111, 116, 120 to 125, 134 to 273 and 281 situated at Vattinagulapally Village, Gandipet Mandal.

8.22 However, the conveyance is only a deed of Assignment. Further, the Tax Recovery Officer-6, Hyderabad has addressed a letter to SRO, Serilingampally vide Letter SR. No. 198/TRO-6/Withdrawal/2018-19, Dated: 03-04-2019 to stop Registrations of any piece of land /Property belongs to the said Defaulter (i.e., Sri. Karim Nawaz Alladdin) but does not intend to stop registrations /Transfer of property other than property standing in the name of Sri. Karim Nawaz Alladdin. Since all the revenue records reflects different names and

neither assignor nor assignee names are recorded in Revenue records the individual representations for issue of passbooks were considered.

8.23 It is further submitted that, in IGRS Website certain sub-divisions of lands covered in Shankar Hills are Covered by Court stay vide No. IA No. 136/2018 in O.S. No. 91/2018 dated: 17-08-2019. It is submitted that, the said O.S. No. 91/2018 filed before the V Addl. District and Sessions Judge, RR district was disposed on 21-10-2021 as "dismissed as not pressed".

8.24 The Pattadars were issued pass books way back in the year 1994 and thereafter several sale transactions were affected in respect of these lands and names of several purchasers were entered in the pattadar and possession columns. The orders issued in favour of the Plot Purchasers Association were set aside and the names of the pattadars were restored in possession column and they are continued in the revenue records and transactions are being done for the past more than (3) decades.

8.25 Earlier M/s. Shankar Hills Plot Purchase Owners made a representation dated. 02-12-2021 to the Collector, Rangareddy District and subsequently approached the Hon'ble High Court of Telangana and obtained the direction to the Collector, Rangareddy District, not to entertain any sale transactions in respect of any of the said 33 survey numbers till their representation is disposed by the District Collector. Further requested to take appropriate action and stop the further registrations.

8.26 The Hon'ble High Court in the Writ Petition No. 1863 of 2022 filed by one Smt. Padma Nutalapati (Tokala) W/o. N. Purnachandra Rao and (133) others made the following orders on 17-01-2022 :

*"Petitioners claim that they have purchased individual plots which were developed in the above referred land. According to the petitioners, there are about 3328 plots and the Association has paid huge amounts to the pattadars in terms of the compromise entered into between the parties in O.S. No. 165 of 2011 on the file of XIV Additional District and Sessions Judge (FTC), Ranga Reddy district at L.B. Nagar and in spite of the said compromise, the pattadars are illegally claiming rights over the subject lands and are trying to create third party interest. The subject land is still shown as agricultural land though the same has been converted into plots long back. In this regard, petitioners Association lodged complaint dated 2.12.2021 and also made online complaint to the respondent No. 2 through Dharani Portal on 10-12-2021, but no action has been taken.*

*Taking into consideration of the above facts and circumstances of the case, the writ petition is disposed of, directing the respondent No. 2 to consider and dispose of the representations of the petitioners dated 2.12.2021 and*

10.12.2021 within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Till the disposal of such representations, the respondent No. 4 shall not entertain any sale transactions in respect of the above referred property. The miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs."

8.27 The Collector, Rangareddy District issued Endorsement vide No.D1/935/2022, dated. 28-04-2022 rejecting the request to stop the registrations and giving liberty to the plot purchasers to avail the remedies available to them before the appropriate forum. The registrations requests filed under Dharani portal were considered as there are no specific orders from any courts to stop the registrations.

9. In the instant case the petitioner herein is seeking to block/prohibit the lands in Sy.Nos. 111 ( Extent Ac. 7-32 gts., ) 2) Sy.No. 146, (Extent Ac. 6.-04 gts.,) 3) sy.No. 152,(Extent Ac. 6.39 gts.,) 4) Sy.No. 153 (Extent Ac. 12.17 gts.,) 5) Sy.No. 154 (Extent Ac. 13.00 gts.,) 6 ) Sy.No. 155 (Extent Ac. 14.14 gts.,) 7) Sy.No. 156 (Extent Ac. 14.14 gts.,) 8) Sy.No. 157 (extent Ac. 4.14 gts.,) 9) Sy.No. 158 (Extent Ac. 18-08 gts.,) 10) Sy.No. 159 (Extent Ac. 4.38 gts.,) 11) Sy.No. 161 (Extent Ac. 15.12 gts.,) 12) Sy.No. 162 (extent Ac. 15.13 gts., 13) Sy.No. 190 (Extent Ac. 12.31 gts., ) total admeasuring Ac. 146-05 gs.,situated at Vattinagulapalli village, Gandipet Mandal from transactions, based on the Agreement of Sale said to be executed on 11-03-2013 executed by the following individuals :

1. Moddu Srisailam S/o. Sri. Sriramulu
2. Moddu Viswanadham S/o. Balaiah
3. Golia Baldev Singh S/o. late. Laxman Singh
4. Golia Gabbar Singh S/o. Bharath Singh
5. Golia Ravichand Singh S/o. Late Laxman Singh
6. Golia Moddu Venkatesam S/o. Sri. Shankaraiah
7. Mahadev Singh S/o. Late. Nimbaji
8. Smt. Nirmala Bai W/o. Late. Tukaram
9. Kapil Kandharkar S/o. Late Mahadev Kandharkar
10. Ch. anantha Reddy S/o. Sri. Narayana Reddy.

10. That the, Judgment and Decree was passed in O.S. No. 54 of 2021 on 14-09-2021. On verification of the copy of the said decree it is seen that, the decree was passed against the above (10) individuals. On verification of the revenue records for the relevant period at the time of passing of the judgement and decree in O.S. No. 54 of 2021, dated. 14-09-2021, the entries against the subject lands to an extent of Ac.146.05 gts., have changed and names of several individuals have been entered in the Dharani online pahani with several sub-divisions, who are not parties to the judgement and decree in O.S. No. 54 of 2021. The petitioner also admitted that, initially his application to the subject lands in block/prohibition list was rejected on 31-10-2022 but after

passing of the order by the Hon'ble High Court in WP No. 41378 of 2022 dated. 14-11-2022 an extent of Ac. 27-15 in the above subject lands were placed under prohibition. The details are as follows :

| <b>Sy.No.</b> | <b>Extent Ac. Gts.,</b> | <b>Court case Intimation application No.</b> |
|---------------|-------------------------|--|
| 111/RU/3      | 0-15                    | CI2200056517                                 |
| 155/AA/1      | 1-01                    | CI2200056966                                 |
| 162/UU/2      | 0-2933                  | CI2200056584                                 |
| 162/UU/3      | 0-2934                  | CI2200056586                                 |
| 162/RU/1      | 0-29                    | CI2200056601                                 |
| 162/RU/2      | 0-29                    | CI2200056605                                 |
| 162/RUU/1     | 0-29                    | CI2200056607                                 |
| 153/RU/2      | 0-24                    | CI2200056098                                 |
| 153/RU/3      | 0-24                    | CI2200056909                                 |
| 153/RUU/1     | 0-24                    | CI2200056910                                 |
| 153/RUU/3     | 0-24                    | CI2200056912                                 |
| 156/AA/1      | 1-01                    | CI2200056922                                 |
| 156/AA/2      | 1-01                    | CI2200056926                                 |
| 156/E/1/1     | 0-2250                  | CI2200056927                                 |

11. As per the documents available, the judgement and decree passed in O.S. No. 54 of 2021 dated. 14-09-2021 was stayed by the Hon'ble court High Court for the State of Telangana in IA No. 3 of 2023 in AS No. 5 of 2023 filed by Sri. Subash Galande and (4) others by orders dated. 18-04-2023. It is further submitted that, in IA No. 3 of 2023 in AS No. 1 of 2023 filed by Smt. Mala Bai Khandarkar, the Hon'ble High Court by orders dated. 18-04-2023 has granted stay of the judgement and decree passed in O.S. No. 54 of 2021, dated. 14-09-2021.

12. In view of the above it is observed that, the judgement and decree passed in O.S. No. 54 of 2021, upon which the applicant is ascertaining his claim and title over the lands to an extent of Ac. 146-05 gts., situated at Vattinagulapally Village is already stayed by the Hon'ble High Court in AS No. 1 of 2023 and AS No. 5 of 2023. As such there are no specific orders in favour of the present applicant to consider his application filed under court case intimation. Therefore the application of the petitioner herein made under Court Case Intimation in Dharani online portal is examined keeping in view of Hon'ble high Court orders in W.P.No.41378 of 2022 and keeping in view of material and discussion held supra, the request of the petitioner is Rejected.



**District Collector,  
Rangareddy District.**



To:

1. Y. Jaihind Reddy S/o. Late. Raji Reddy, R/o. Plot No. 52, Noble Monarch Apartments, Flat No. 102, Jubilee Hills, Road No.2,

2. Moddu Srisailam S/o. Late. Moddu Sree Ramulu, R/o. Village: Vattinagulapally, Mandal: Gandipet, R.R. District.
  3. Moddu Viswanadham S/o. Balaiah, R/o. H. No. 2-102/7, Vattinagulapalle, Gandipet Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
  4. Golia Baladev Singh S/o. Late. Laxman Singh, R/o. H. No. 1-33, Vattinagulapalle, Gandipet Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
  5. Golia Gabbar Singh S/o. Bharath Singh, R/o. H. No. 1-80, Vattinagulapalle, Gandipet Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
  6. Golia Ravichand Singh S/o. Late Laxman Singh, R/o. H. No. 1-35, Vattinagulapalle, Gandipet Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
  7. Golia Moddu Venkatesam S/o. Shankaraiah, R/o. H. No. 2-81, Vattinagulapalle, Gandipet Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
  8. Mahadev Singh S/o. Late Nimbaji, R/o. H. No. 11-1-889/8, Ajzal Sagal, Seetharambagh, Abids, Hyderabad.
  9. Smt. Nirmala Bai W/o. Lae. Tukaram, R/o. Raichur Town, Karnataka Sale.
  10. Kapil Khadarkar S/o. Late Mahadev Khandarkar, R/o. H. No. 15-6-419, Gowli Basthi, Begumbazar, Hyderabad.
  11. Ch. Anantha Reddy S/o. Narayana Reddy, Vattinagulapalle, Gandipet Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
  12. Siddoji Khandarkar S/o. Late. K. Bramhaji Khandarkar, R/o. Village: Vattinagulapally, Mandal: Gandipet, R.R. District.
- Copy to the RDO, Rajendranagar Division, RR District for information.  
Copy to the Tahsildar, Gandipet Mandal, for information and necessary action.